



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,693	09/17/2003	Ravinder Aggarwal	ASMEX.358DV1	6237
68852 7590 03/31/2009 Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear LLP 2040 Main Street 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614				
EXAMINER ADAMS, GREGORY W				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
3652				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/31/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: RAVINDER AGGARWAL, JIM KUSBEL
and JIM ALEXANDER

Application No. 10/665,693
Technology Center 3600

Mailed: March 31, 2009

Before GLORIA HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*
HENDERSON, *Review Team Paralegal*.

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on February 19, 2009. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the

examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

APPEAL BRIEF, GROUNDS OF REJECTION

A review of the file finds that the grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief filed October 20, 2008 under the heading “Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal” is unclear and/or is not consistent with the grounds of rejection of claims of record. The grounds of rejection of the claims as provided in the Appeal Brief must be consistent with the last Office action of record, including any Advisory action responsive to any after final submissions. Each Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal must be identified. The appellants only identify the independent Claims 1, 10, and 14. Correction of the Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal for all claims is required.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is electronically returned to the Examiner:

- 1) to hold the Appeal Brief filed on October 20, 2008 defective;

- 2) notify Appellants to file a paper properly addressing the Grounds of rejection of all claims; and
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

GJH

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON
& BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET, 14TH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614